

BOUNDED INTEGRO COMPOSITION OPERATORS ON ORLICZ SPACES

Nidhi Suri

Department of Mathematics
University of Jammu, India
nidhi_ju31@yahoo.com

B.S. Komal

Department of Engineering Mathematics
M.I.E.T, Jammu, India
bskomal2@yahoo.co.in

Abstract

In this paper we study bounded integro composition operators on Orlicz spaces.

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 47B38; Secondary 47B99

Keywords: Integro composition operator, Radon Nikodym derivative, Expectation operator.

1 Introduction

Let (X, s, μ) be a σ -finite measure space. A measurable transformation $T : (X, s) \rightarrow (X, s)$ is called non singular, if $\mu(T^{-1}(E)) = 0$, whenever $\mu(E) = 0$ for each measurable subset E of X . If T is non-singular, then the measure μT^{-1} is absolutely continuous with respect to the measure μ . Therefore by the Radon Nikodym theorem, there exists a positive measurable function f_0 such that $\mu(T^{-1}(E)) = \int_E f_0 d\mu$. The function f_0 is called the Radon Nikodym derivative of the measure μT^{-1} with respect to the measure μ . A bounded projection operator $E : L_p(X, s, \mu) \rightarrow L_p(X, T^{-1}(s), \mu)$ is known as the expectation operator or the conditional expectation. The properties of the expectation operator can be found in Parthasarathy [9]. If T is non singular measurable transformation and if f_0 is an essentially bounded measurable function, then the operator $C_T : L_p(\mu) \rightarrow L_p(\mu)$ defined by $C_T f = f o T$, $\forall f \in L_p(\mu)$ is a bounded operator (see Singh [13]). The operator C_T is called a composition operator induced by T . A measurable function $K : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a kernel function.

A convex function $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is called a Young function if it satisfies the following properties:

- (i) $\Phi(x) = \Phi(-x)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

- (ii) $\Phi(0) = 0$,
- (iii) $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x) = \infty$.

With each Young function Φ we can associate another Young function $\Psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ which is defined by $\Psi(y) = \sup\{x|y| - \Phi(x) : x \geq 0\}$ for each $y \in \mathbb{R}$. The function Ψ is called the complementary function of Φ . Suppose $X \subset \mathbb{R}$. Define $L_\Phi(\mu) = \{f|f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is measurable function and } \int_X \Phi(\alpha|f|)d\mu < \infty \text{ for some } \alpha > 0\}$. For $f \in L_\Phi(\mu)$, if we define

$$\|f\|_\Phi = \inf \left\{ \epsilon > 0 : \int_X \Phi \left(\frac{|f|}{\epsilon} \right) d\mu \leq 1 \right\},$$

then $L_\Phi(\mu)$ is a Banach space under the norm $\|\cdot\|_\Phi$. If $\Phi(x) = |x|^p$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then $L_\Phi(\mu) = L_p(\mu)$, the well known Banach space of p^{th} integrable functions defined on X . The Holder's inequality for Orlicz spaces is stated as follows:

If $f \in L_\Phi(\mu)$ and $g \in L_\Psi(\mu)$, with (Φ, Ψ) as a normalized complementary Young pair, then

$$\int_X |fg|d(\mu) \leq 2\|f\|_\Phi\|g\|_\Psi$$

Let $\{\mu_n\}$ be a sequence of strictly positive real numbers. Suppose $X = \mathbb{N}$, the set of natural numbers. Let μ be the measure on $P(\mathbb{N})$, the power set of \mathbb{N} , defined by $\mu(E) = \sum_{n \in E} \mu_n$. Then

$$L_\Phi(\mathbb{N}) = \ell_\Phi^\mu(\mathbb{N}) = \left\{ f|f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi \left(\frac{|f_n|}{\alpha} \right) \mu_n < \infty \text{ for some } \alpha > 0 \right\}$$

The space $\ell_\Phi^\mu(\mathbb{N})$ is known as weighted Orlicz sequence space.

If $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a measurable transformation and $K : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the kernel function, then the bounded linear operators $R_T^K : L_\Phi(\mu) \rightarrow L_\Phi(\mu)$ and $L_T^K : L_\Phi(\mu) \rightarrow L_\Phi(\mu)$ defined by

$$(R_T^K f)(x) = \int K(x, y)f(T(y))d\mu(y) \text{ for every } f \in L_\Phi(\mu)$$

and

$$(L_T^K f)(x) = \int K(T(x), y)f(y)d\mu(y) \text{ for every } f \in L_\Phi(\mu)$$

are known as integro composition operators.

For literature concerning Orlicz spaces, composition operators, integral operators, integro composition operators we refer to Rao [10], Gupta, Komal and Suri [6], Kuffner [7], Cowen [2], Singh and Komal [11], Singh and Manhas [12], Bloom and Kerman [1], Gupta and Komal ([3], [4], [5]), Lyubic [8], Stepanov [14] and Whitley [15].

2 Bounded Integro Composition Operators on Weighted Orlicz Sequence Spaces

In this section we obtain a sufficient condition for an integro composition operator to be bounded. Let $K : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $T : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be two mappings. Set

$$K_0(m, n) = \begin{cases} \sum_{p \in T^{-1}(n)} \frac{K(m, p) \mu_p}{\mu_n}, & \text{if } T^{-1}(n) \neq \emptyset \\ 0, & \text{if } T^{-1}(n) = \emptyset \end{cases}$$

For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $K_0^m : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be defined by $K_0^m(n) = K_0(m, n)$ and $\beta : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $\beta(m) = \|K_0^m\|_\Psi$.

Theorem 2.1 *Let $\beta \in \ell_\Phi^\mu(\mathbb{N})$. Then the integro composition operator $R_T^K : \ell_\Phi^\mu(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \ell_\Phi^\mu(\mathbb{N})$ is a bounded operator.*

Proof: Take $f \in \ell_\Phi^\mu(\mathbb{N})$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{N}} \Phi \left(\frac{|(R_T^K f)(m)|}{2\|\beta\|_\Phi \|f\|_\Phi} \right) \mu_m &= \int_{\mathbb{N}} \Phi \left(\frac{\left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K_0(m, n) f(T(n)) \mu_n \right|}{2\|\beta\|_\Phi \|f\|_\Phi} \right) \mu_m \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{N}} \Phi \left(\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p \in T^{-1}(n)} |K(m, p) f(T(p))| \mu_p}{2\|\beta\|_\Phi \|f\|_\Phi} \right) \mu_m \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{N}} \Phi \left(\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p \in T^{-1}(n)} |K(m, p) f(n)| \mu_p}{2\|\beta\|_\Phi \|f\|_\Phi} \right) \mu_m \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{N}} \Phi \left(\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |K_0(m, n) f(n)| \mu_n}{2\|\beta\|_\Phi \|f\|_\Phi} \right) \mu_m \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{N}} \Phi \left(\frac{2\|K_0^m\|_\Psi \|f\|_\Phi}{2\|\beta\|_\Phi \|f\|_\Phi} \right) \mu_m \\ &\quad \text{(by using Hölder's inequality)} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{N}} \Phi \left(\frac{\|K_0^m\|_\Psi}{\|\beta\|_\Phi} \right) \mu_m \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{N}} \Phi \left(\frac{\beta(m)}{\|\beta\|_\Phi} \right) \mu_m \\ &\leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|R_T^K f\|_\Phi \leq 2\|\beta\|_\Phi \|f\|_\Phi$ for every $f \in \ell_\Phi^\mu(\mathbb{N})$. This proves that R_T^K is a bounded operator. \square

Example 2.2 Let $T : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be defined by $T(n) = n + 2$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\Phi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $\Phi(n) = \frac{n^2}{2}$. Then its complementary function $\Psi(n)$ is given by $\Psi(n) = \frac{n^2}{2}$. Suppose $K : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $K(m, n) = \frac{1}{2^{m+n}}$. Then, for $\mu_n = \frac{1}{2^n}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|K_0^m\|_\Psi &= \inf \left\{ \epsilon > 0 : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Psi \left(\frac{K_0^m(n)}{\epsilon} \right) \mu_n \leq 1 \right\} \\
&= \inf \left\{ \epsilon > 0 : \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \Psi \left(\frac{\sum_{p \in T^{-1}(n)} K(m, p) \mu_p}{\epsilon \mu_n} \right) \mu_n \leq 1 \right\} \\
&= \inf \left\{ \epsilon > 0 : \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \Psi \left(\frac{K(m, n-2) \mu_{n-2}}{\epsilon \mu_n} \right) \mu_n \leq 1 \right\} \\
&= \inf \left\{ \epsilon > 0 : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Psi \left(\frac{K(m, n) \mu_n}{\epsilon \mu_{n+2}} \right) \mu_{n+2} \leq 1 \right\} \\
&= \inf \left\{ \epsilon > 0 : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Psi \left(\frac{\frac{1}{2^{m+n}} \cdot \frac{1}{2^n}}{\epsilon \cdot \frac{1}{2^{n+2}}} \right) \frac{1}{2^{n+2}} \leq 1 \right\} \\
&= \inf \left\{ \epsilon > 0 : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Psi \left(\frac{4}{\epsilon 2^{m+n}} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{2^{n+2}} \leq 1 \right\} \\
&= \inf \left\{ \epsilon > 0 : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{16}{2\epsilon^2 2^{2m+2n}} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{n+2}} \leq 1 \right\} \\
&= \inf \left\{ \epsilon > 0 : \frac{2}{\epsilon^2 2^{2m}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{3n}} \leq 1 \right\} \\
&= \inf \left\{ \epsilon > 0 : \frac{2}{\epsilon^2 2^{2m}} \cdot \frac{1}{7} \leq 1 \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{7 \cdot 2^{2m-1}}}
\end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\beta\|_\Phi &= \inf \left\{ \epsilon > 0 : \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \Phi \left(\frac{|\beta(m)|}{\epsilon} \right) \leq 1 \right\} \\
&= \inf \left\{ \epsilon > 0 : \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^2(m)}{2\epsilon^2} \leq 1 \right\} \\
&= \inf \left\{ \epsilon > 0 : \frac{1}{7\epsilon^2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{2m}} \leq 1 \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{21}} < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence R_T^K is a bounded operator in view of Theorem 2.1.

3 Bounded Integro Composition Operators on Orlicz Spaces

In this section we shall discuss the boundedness of various types of integro composition operators acting on Orlicz spaces. Let μ be any measure on s . Suppose Φ_1, Φ_2 are two Young functions on X . For $x \in X$, let $K^x : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $K^x(y) = K(x, y)$. Suppose $\beta : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $\beta(x) = \|K^x\|_{\Psi_1}$

Theorem 3.1 *Suppose $\beta \in L_{\Phi_2}(\mu_2 T^{-1})$. Then $L_T^K : L_{\Phi_1}(\mu_1) \rightarrow L_{\Phi_2}(\mu_2)$ is a bounded operator.*

Proof: Take $f \in L_{\Phi_1}(\mu_1)$. Write $t_1 = \|f\|_{\Phi_1}$, $t_2 = \|\beta\|_{\Phi_2, \mu_2 T^{-1}}$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \int \Phi_2 \left(\frac{|(L_T^K f)(x)|}{2t_1 t_2} \right) d\mu_2(x) &= \int \Phi_2 \left(\frac{|\int K(T(x), y) f(y) d\mu_1(y)|}{2t_1 t_2} \right) d\mu_2(x) \\ &\leq \int \Phi_2 \left(\frac{\|2K^{T(x)}\|_{\Psi_1} \|f\|_{\Phi_1}}{2t_2 t_1} \right) d\mu_2(x) \\ &\quad \text{(by using Hölder's inequality)} \\ &\leq \int \Phi_2 \left(\frac{\beta(T(x))}{t_2} \right) d\mu_2(x) \\ &= \int \Phi_2 \left(\frac{\beta(x)}{t_2} \right) d\mu_2 T^{-1}(x) \\ &\leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|L_T^K f\|_{\Phi_2} \leq 2\|\beta\|_{\Phi_2, \mu_2 T^{-1}} \|f\|_{\Phi_1}$ for every $f \in L_{\Phi_1}(\mu_1)$. This proves that L_T^K is a bounded operator. \square

Example 3.2 Let $T : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $T(x) = \frac{\pi}{2}x$. Then $\frac{d\mu T^{-1}(x)}{d\mu(x)} = \frac{2}{\pi}$. Take $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu$, the Lebesgue measure and $\Phi_1(x) = \Phi_2(x) = \frac{x^2}{2} = \Phi(x)$. Then $\Psi(x) = \frac{x^2}{2}$.

Suppose $K : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $K(x, y) = e^{-\frac{(x^2+y^2)}{4}}$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(x) &= \|K^x\|_{\Psi} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \epsilon > 0 : \int \Psi \left(\frac{K(x, y)}{\epsilon} \right) d\mu(y) \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \epsilon > 0 : \int \Psi \left(\frac{e^{-\frac{(x^2+y^2)}{4}}}{\epsilon} \right) d\mu(y) \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \epsilon > 0 : \int \frac{e^{-\frac{(x^2+y^2)}{2}}}{2\epsilon^2} d\mu(y) \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \epsilon > 0 : \frac{e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}}{2\epsilon^2} \cdot \sqrt{2\pi} \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \sqrt{\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\beta\|_{\Phi, d\mu T^{-1}} &= \inf \left\{ \epsilon > 0 : \int \Phi \left(\frac{\beta(x)}{\epsilon} \right) d\mu T^{-1}(x) \leq 1 \right\} \\
&= \inf \left\{ \epsilon > 0 : \int \frac{\beta^2(x)}{2\epsilon^2} d\mu T^{-1}(x) \leq 1 \right\} \\
&= \inf \left\{ \epsilon > 0 : \frac{1}{2\epsilon^2} \times \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \times \frac{2}{\pi} \times \sqrt{2\pi} \leq 1 \right\} \\
&= 1
\end{aligned}$$

Hence L_T^k is a bounded operator in view of Theorem 3.1.

For each $x \in X$, define $K_T^x : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $K_T^x(y) = E(K^x) \circ T^{-1}(y) f_o(y)$ and $\beta(x) = \|K_T^x\|_{\Psi_1}$, where Ψ_1 is the complementary function of Φ_1 .

Theorem 3.3 Suppose $\beta \in L_{\Phi_2}(\mu_2)$. Then the integro composition operator $R_T^K : L_{\Phi_1}(\mu_1) \rightarrow L_{\Phi_2}(\mu_2)$ is a bounded operator.

Proof: For $f \in L_{\Phi_1}(\mu_1)$. Write $t_1 = \|f\|_{\Phi_1}$ and $t_2 = \|\beta\|_{\Phi_2}$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int \Phi_2 \left(\frac{|(R_T^K f)(x)|}{2t_1 t_2} \right) d\mu_2(x) \\
&= \int \Phi_2 \left(\frac{|\int K(x, y) f(T(y)) d\mu_1(y)|}{2t_1 t_2} \right) d\mu_2(x) \\
&\leq \int \Phi_2 \left(\frac{\int |K(x, y)| |f(T(y))| d\mu_1(y)}{2t_1 t_2} \right) d\mu_2(x) \\
&= \int \Phi_2 \left(\frac{|E(K^x) \circ T^{-1}(y) f_o(y)|}{2t_2} \frac{|f(y)|}{t_1} d\mu_1(y) \right) d\mu_2(x) \\
&\leq \int \Phi_2 \left(\frac{2\|E(K^x) \circ T^{-1} f_o\|_{\Psi_1} \|f\|_{\Phi_1}}{2t_2 t_1} \right) d\mu_2(x) \\
&\quad \text{(By using Hölder's Inequality)} \\
&\leq \int \Phi_2 \left(\frac{\beta(x)}{t_2} \right) d\mu_2(x) \\
&\leq 1
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|R_T^K f\|_{\Phi_2} \leq 2\|\beta\|_{\Phi_2} \|f\|_{\Phi_1}$ for every $f \in L_{\Phi_1}(\mu_1)$, and R_T^K is a bounded operator. \square

Theorem 3.4 Suppose $\beta \in L_{\Phi_2}(\mu_2)$, where $\beta(x) = \|K^x\|_{\Psi_1}$. Then $I_K : L_{\Phi_1}(\mu_1) \rightarrow L_{\Phi_2}(\mu_2)$, $(I_K f)(x) = \int K(x, y) f(y) d\mu_1(y)$, is a bounded operator.

Proof: Take $f \in L_{\Phi_1}(\mu_1)$. Write $t_1 = \|f\|_{\Phi_1}$ and $t_2 = \|\beta\|_{\Phi_2}$.

Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \Phi_2 \left(\frac{|(I_K f)(x)|}{2t_1 t_2} \right) d\mu_2(x) &= \int \Phi_2 \left(\frac{|\int K(x, y) f(y) d\mu_1(y)|}{2t_1 t_2} \right) d\mu_2(x) \\
&\leq \int \Phi_2 \left(\frac{\int |K(x, y)| \frac{|f(y)|}{t_1} d\mu_1(y)}{2t_2} \right) d\mu_2(x) \\
&\leq \int \Phi_2 \left(2 \frac{(\|K^x\|_{\Psi_1} \|f\|_{\Phi_1})}{2t_2 t_1} \right) d\mu_2(x) \\
&\quad \text{(By using Hölder's Inequality)} \\
&\leq \int \Phi_2 \left(\frac{\beta(x)}{t_2} \right) d\mu_2(x) \\
&\leq 1
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|I_K f\|_{\Phi_2} \leq 2\|\beta\|_{\Phi_2}\|f\|_{\Phi_1} \quad \text{for every } f \in L_{\Phi_1}(\mu_1).$$

This proves that I_K is a bounded operator. \square

Acknowledgement. The authors are extremely thankful to the referee for his useful suggestions.

References

- [1] S. Bloom and R. Kerman, Weighted norm inequalities for operators of Hardy type, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 113, (1991), 135-141.
- [2] C. C. Cowen and B.D. Maccluer, Composition operators on spaces of analytic functions , Studies in advanced Mathematics, CRC Press New York (1995).
- [3] A. Gupta and B.S. Komal, Generalized integral operators on function spaces, Int. Journal of Math Analysis, Vol. 3, 26(2009), 1277-1282.
- [4] A. Gupta and B.S. Komal, Weighted composite integral operators, Int. Journal of Math Analysis, Vol. 3, 26(2009), 1283-1293.
- [5] A. Gupta and B.S. Komal, Volterra Composition operators Int. J. Comtemp. Math. Science, Vol. 6, 2011, No. 7, 345-351.
- [6] S. Gupta, B. S. Komal and Nidhi Suri, Weighted Composition Operators on Orlicz spaces, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Science, Vol. 5, No.1, (2010), 11-20.
- [7] A. Kuffner, O. John and S. Fucik, Function spaces Academic Prague, 1977.
- [8] Yu. I. Lyubic, Composition of integration and substitution, Linear and complex Analysis, Problem Book, Springer Lect. Notes in Math. , 1043, Berlin (1984), 249-250.
- [9] K. R. Parthasarthy, Introduction to probability and measure, Macmilan Limited, (1977).
- [10] M. M. Rao and Z. D. Ren, Theory of Orlicz spaces, Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, Basel and Hong Kong, 1991.
- [11] R. K. Singh and B. S. Komal, Composition operator on l^p and its adjoint, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 70, (1978), 21-25.

- [12] R. K. Singh and J. S. Manhas, Composition operators on function spaces, North Holland Mathematics studies 179, Elsevier sciences publishers Amsterdam, New York (1993).
- [13] R. K. Singh, Composition operators induced by rational functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 59, (1976), 329-333.
- [14] V. D. Stepanov, On boundedness and compactness of a class of convolution operators, Soviet Math. Dokl. 41, (1990), 446-470.
- [15] R. Whitley, The spectrum of a Volterra composition operator, Integral equation and operator theory, Vol. 10, No. 1, (1987), 146-149.