

DERIVATIONS ON PSEUDO-Q-ALGEBRAS

ŞULE AYAR ÖZBAL, ALEV FIRAT

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study derivations on pseudo- Q -algebras and investigate some of their properties.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 03G25, 06F35

Key words: pseudo- Q -algebras, derivations.

Article history:

Received 03 April 2018

Received in revised form 19 June 2018

Accepted 15 February 2019

1. INTRODUCTION

BCK-algebras and BCI-algebras were introduced by Imai and Iseki as two classes of abstract algebras in 1966 [6], [7]. It is known that the class of BCK-algebras is a proper subclass of BCI-algebras. In 1983, BCH-algebras as a wide class of abstract algebras were introduced by Hu and Li [11], [16]. In their study, it is given that the class of BCI-algebras are proper subclasses of BCH-algebras. In 1999, the notion of d-algebras that is another useful generalization of BCK-algebras was introduced by Neggers and Kim [17]. In 2001, a new notion called a Q -algebras was introduced by J. Neggers, S. S. Ahn and H. S. Kim [10]. At the same time pseudo-BCK-algebras as an extension of BCK-algebras was introduced by G. Geordscu, and A. Iorgulescu [3]. In 2008, pseudo-BCK-algebras as a natural generalization of BCI-algebras and pseudo-BCK-algebras were introduced by W. A. Dudek and Y. B. Jun [12]. These algebras have also connections with other algebras of logics such as pseudo-MV-algebras and pseudo-BL-algebras defined by G. Georgesuc and A. Iorgulescu [5] and [4], respectively. As a generalization of many algebras, these pseudo algebras has been studied by many researchers [1], [2], [14] and [8], [13]. In the last decade, many papers about derivations on different algebras are written by many researchers. Derivations is a very interesting and important area of researchers in the theory of algebraic structures in mathematics. Indeed the concept has been inspired by derivations on rings. In this paper we apply the notion of derivation in ring and near-ring theory to pseudo- Q algebras, and using the notion of derivation we investigate some of its properties.

2. PSEUDO-Q-ALGEBRAS

Definition 2.1. [15] *A pseudo- Q -algebra is a non-empty set X with a constant 0 and two binary operations $*$ and \circ satisfying the following axioms:*

$$(PQ1) \quad x * x = x \circ x = 0;$$

$$(PQ2) \quad x * 0 = x \circ 0 = x;$$

$$(PQ3) \quad (x * y) \circ z = (x \circ z) * y, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X.$$

Definition 2.2. [15] Let $(X; *, \circ, 0)$ be a pseudo- Q -algebras and let $\emptyset \neq I \subset X$. I is called a pseudo subalgebra of X if $x * y, x \circ y \in I$ whenever $x, y \in I$. I is called ideal of X if it satisfies:

$$(I1) \ 0 \in I;$$

$$(I2) \ x * y \text{ or } x \circ y \in I \text{ and } y \in I \text{ imply } x \in I \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Proposition 2.3. [15] If $(X; *, \circ, 0)$ is a pseudo- Q -algebra, then $(PQ4) \ (x * (x \circ y)) \circ y = (x \circ (x * y)) * y = 0$, for any $x, y \in X$.

Definition 2.4. [15] Let $(X; *, \circ, 0)$ be a pseudo- Q -algebras. Define the relation " \leq " on X by

$$x \leq y \text{ if and only if } x * y = 0 \text{ (or equivalently, } x \circ y = 0)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Proposition 2.5. [15] In a pseudo- Q -algebra $(X; *, \circ, 0)$ the following properties hold for all $x, y \in X$:

$$(1) \ x \leq 0 \Rightarrow x = 0;$$

$$(2) \ x * (x \circ y) \leq y, x \circ (x * y) \leq y;$$

$$(3) \ 0 * x = 0 \circ x;$$

$$(4) \ x \leq y \Rightarrow 0 * x = 0 \circ y;$$

$$(5) \ 0 \circ (0 * (0 \circ x)) = 0 \circ x, 0 * (0 \circ (0 * x)) = 0 * x;$$

$$(6) \ 0 * (x * y) = (0 \circ x) \circ (0 * y);$$

$$(7) \ 0 \circ (x \circ y) = (0 * x) * (0 \circ y).$$

Theorem 2.6. [18] Let $(X; *, \circ, 0)$ be a pseudo- Q -algebra. The following statements are equivalent:

$$(i) \ x * (y * z) = (x * y) * z, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X;$$

$$(ii) \ 0 * x = x = 0 \circ x, \text{ for every } x \in X;$$

$$(iii) \ x * y = x \circ y = y * x, \text{ for all } x, y \in X;$$

$$(iv) \ x \circ (y \circ z) = (x \circ y) \circ z, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X.$$

Theorem 2.7. [15] For any pseudo Q -algebra X , the set

$$K(X) = \{x \in X \mid 0 \preceq x\}$$

is a pseudo subalgebra of X .

Definition 2.8. [15] A pseudo Q -algebra X is said to be \circ -medial if it satisfies the following identity

$$(x * y) \circ (z * u) = (x * z) \circ (y * u)$$

for all x, y, z, u in X .

Proposition 2.9. [15] Every \circ -medial pseudo Q -algebra X satisfies the following identities: For any $x, y \in X$

- (i): $x * y = 0 \circ (y * x)$
- (ii): $0 \circ (0 * x) = x$
- (iii): $x \circ (x * y) = y$

[15] Let X be a pseudo Q -algebra. For any non-empty subset S of X , we define

$$G(S) := \{x \in S \mid 0 * x = x = 0 \circ x\}$$

In particular, if $S = X$ then we say $G(X)$ is the G -part of X .

Definition 2.10. [15] An element a of a pseudo Q -algebra X is called a pseudo atom of X if for every $x \in X$, $x \preceq a$ implies $x = a$.

Theorem 2.11. [15] Let X be a pseudo Q -algebra. Then the following are equivalent for all $x, y, z, w, u \in X$

- (i): w is a pseudo atom of X .
- (ii): $w = x \circ (x * w)$ and $w = x * (x \circ w)$
- (iii): $(x * y) \circ (x * w) = w * y$ and $(x \circ y) * (x \circ w) = w \circ y$
- (iv): $w * (x \circ y) = y \circ (x * w)$ and $w \circ (x * y) = y * (x \circ w)$
- (v): $0 \circ (y * w) = w * y$ and $0 * (y \circ w) = w \circ y$
- (vi): $0 \circ (0 * w) = w$ and $0 * (0 \circ w) = w$
- (vii): $0 \circ (0 * (w \circ z)) = w \circ z$ and $0 * (0 \circ (w * z)) = w * z$
- (viii): $z \circ (z * (w \circ u)) = w \circ u$ and $z * (z \circ (w * u)) = w * u$

3. DERIVATIONS ON PSEUDO Q -ALGEBRAS

In this section we introduce the notion of a derivation for a pseudo Q -algebra X . For simplicity we define $x \wedge y = y * (y \circ x)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition 3.1. Let X be a pseudo Q -algebra. A map $d: X \rightarrow X$ is said to be a left-right derivation (briefly, a (l, r) -derivation) of X , if it satisfies the identity $d(x * y) = (d(x) * y) \wedge (x * d(y))$ for all $x, y \in X$.

If d satisfies the identity $d(x * y) = (x * d(y)) \wedge (d(x) * y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ then d is said to be a right-left derivation (briefly, (r, l) -derivation) of X . Moreover, if d is both (l, r) and (r, l) -derivation, then it is said that d is an derivation.

Example 3.2. Let $X = \{0, a, b, c\}$ be the pseudo Q -algebra with Cayley tables given as

*	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	0	0
b	b	b	0	a
c	c	c	0	0

\circ	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	0	0
b	b	c	0	c
c	c	c	0	0

Define a map $d: X \rightarrow X$ by

$$d(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x=0 \\ a, & x=a \\ 0, & x=b \\ 0, & x=c \end{cases}$$

Then it is easily checked that d is a derivation of X .

Definition 3.3. A derivation d of a pseudo Q -algebra X is said to be regular if $d(0) = 0$.

Proposition 3.4. Let d be a (l, r) -derivation of a pseudo Q -algebra X . Then the followings hold:

(i) $d(x) = d(x) \wedge (x * d(0))$ for all $x \in X$.

(ii) $d(0) = d(0) \wedge (0 * d(0))$.

(iii) If d is regular then $d(x) = d(x) \wedge x$ for all $x \in X$.

Proof. i) Let d be a (l, r) -derivation of a pseudo Q -algebra X . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(x) &= d(x * 0) \quad (\text{by (PQ2)}) \\ &= (d(x) * 0) \wedge (x * d(0)) \quad (\text{by Definition 3.1}) \\ &= d(x) \wedge (x * d(0)) \quad (\text{by (PQ2)}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $d(x) = d(x) \wedge (x * d(0))$.

ii) Let d be a (l, r) -derivation of a pseudo Q -algebra X . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(0) &= d(0 * 0) \quad (\text{by (PQ1)}) \\ &= (d(0) * 0) \wedge (0 * d(0)) \quad (\text{by Definition 3.1}) \\ &= d(0) \wedge (0 * d(0)) \quad (\text{by (PQ2)}) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $d(0) = d(0) \wedge (0 * d(0))$.

iii) Let d be a regular (l, r) -derivation of a pseudo Q -algebra X . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(x) &= d(x * 0) \quad (\text{by (PQ2)}) \\ &= (d(x) * 0) \wedge (x * d(0)) \quad (\text{by Definition 3.1}) \\ &= d(x) \wedge (x * d(0)) \quad (\text{by (PQ2)}) \\ &= d(x) \wedge (x * 0) \quad (\text{by } d \text{ is regular}) \\ &= d(x) \wedge x \quad (\text{by (PQ2)}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $d(x) = d(x) \wedge x$.

□

Proposition 3.5. Let d be a (r, l) -derivation of a pseudo Q -algebra X . Then the followings hold:

(i) $d(x) = (x * d(0)) \wedge d(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

(ii) $d(0) = (0 * d(0)) \wedge d(0)$.

(iii) If d is regular then $d(x) = x \wedge d(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Proof. i) Let d be a (r, l) -derivation of a pseudo Q -algebra X . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(x) &= d(x * 0) \quad (\text{by (PQ2)}) \\ &= (x * d(0)) \wedge (d(x) * 0) \quad (\text{by (Definition 3.1)}) \\ &= (x * d(0)) \wedge d(x) \quad (\text{by (PQ2)}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $d(x) = (x * d(0)) \wedge d(x)$.

ii) Let d be a (r, l) -derivation of a pseudo Q -algebra X . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(0) &= d(0 * 0) \quad (\text{by (PQ1)}) \\ &= (0 * d(0)) \wedge (d(0) * 0) \quad (\text{by Definition 3.1}) \\ &= (0 * d(0)) \wedge d(0) \quad (\text{by (PQ2)}) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $d(0) = (0 * d(0)) \wedge d(0)$.

iii) Let d be a regular (r, l) -derivation of a pseudo Q -algebra X . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(x) &= d(x * 0) \quad (\text{by (PQ2)}) \\ &= (x * d(0)) \wedge (d(x) * 0) \quad (\text{by Definition 3.1}) \\ &= (x * 0) \wedge d(x) \quad (\text{by } d \text{ is regular and by (PQ2)}) \\ &= x \wedge d(x) \quad (\text{by (PQ2)}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $d(x) = x \wedge d(x)$. □

Proposition 3.6. *Let X be a pseudo Q -algebra and d be a derivation of X . If $d(0) \in K(X)$ then $d(0) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $d(0) \in K(X)$. Then $0 \leq d(0)$. This is to mean that $0 * d(0) = 0$.

By using the definition of (r, l) -derivation of a pseudo Q -algebra X we get

$$d(0) = d(0) * (0 * d(0)) = d(0) \wedge 0 = 0 * (0 \circ d(0)) = 0 * 0 = 0$$

Therefore we get $d(0) = 0$.

Similarly, we can get $d(0) = 0$ by using the definition of (l, r) -derivation of a pseudo Q -algebra X . □

Proposition 3.7. *Let X be a pseudo Q -algebra and d be a (r, l) -derivation of X . If $x \leq d(0)$ for all $x \in X$ then $d = 0$.*

Proof. Let $x \leq d(0)$ for any element x of a pseudo Q -algebra X where d is a (r, l) -derivation of X . Then we have $x * d(0) = 0$. By using the definition of a (r, l) -derivation of X and by (PQ2), (PQ1) we have

$$d(x) = d(x * 0) = (x * d(0)) \wedge d(x) = 0 \wedge d(x) = d(x) * (d(x) \circ 0) = d(x) * d(x) = 0$$

Hence we get $d(x) = 0$.

Additionally, if d is a regular derivation that is $x * d(0) = x * 0 = 0$ we have $x = 0$ i.e. $X = \{0\}$. □

Proposition 3.8. *Let d be a (l, r) -derivation of a pseudo Q -algebra X . If $d(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in X$ then $d = 0$.*

Proof. Let $d(x) \leq 0$ for all x in a pseudo Q -algebra X . By (PQ2) we have

$$d(x) * 0 = d(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

□

Proposition 3.9. *Let d be a (r, l) -derivation of a pseudo Q -algebra X . If $d(0) = 0$ then $d(x) \leq x$ for all $x \in X$.*

Proof. Let d be a regular (r,l) -derivation of a pseudo Q -algebra X . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(x) \circ x &= (x \wedge d(x)) \circ x && \text{(by Proposition 3.5 (iii))} \\ &= (d(x) * (d(x) \circ x)) \circ x && \text{(by Definition of } \wedge \text{)} \\ &= (d(x) \circ x) * (d(x) \circ x) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $d(x) \circ x = 0$ i.e. $d(x) \leq x$. □

Definition 3.10. Let d be a derivation of a pseudo Q -algebra X . A pseudo ideal A of X is said to be d -invariant if $d(A) \subseteq A$.

Proposition 3.11. Let d be a regular (r,l) -derivation of a pseudo Q -algebra X then every pseudo ideal A of X is d -invariant; that is $d(A) \subseteq A$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.9 we have $d(x) \leq x$ for all $x \in X$. Let $y \in d(A)$ then $d(x) = y$ for some $x \in A$. Then we get

$$y * x = d(x) * x = 0.$$

This is to say that $y \leq x$. $x \in A$ and A is a pseudo ideal of X . Then $y \in A$. So $d(A) \subseteq A$. □

Proposition 3.12. Let d be a (r,l) -derivation of a \circ -medial pseudo Q -algebra X then $d(a) \in G(X)$ for all $a \in G(X)$.

Proof. For any $a \in G(X)$ we have

$$d(a) = d(0 * a) = (0 * d(a)) \wedge (d(0) * a) = (d(0) * a) * ((d(0) * a) \circ (0 * d(a))) = 0 * d(a)$$

Hence we get $d(a) \in G(X)$. □

Theorem 3.13. Let d be a derivation of a pseudo Q -algebra X . Then d is regular if and only if every ideal of X is d -invariant.

Proof. Let d be a regular (r,l) -derivation of a pseudo Q -algebra X . Then every pseudo ideal A is d -invariant.

Conversely, let X be a pseudo Q -algebra that is every ideal of X is d -invariant. By our assumption 0 is an ideal of X that is d -invariant. So we have $d(\{0\}) \subseteq \{0\}$. That implies $d(0) = 0$. □

Proposition 3.14. Let d be a regular (l,r) - derivation of a pseudo Q -algebra X and w be a pseudo atom in X . Then $d(w)$ is a pseudo atom of X .

Proof. Let d be a regular (l,r) - derivation of a pseudo Q -algebra X and w be a pseudo atom in X . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(w) &= d(0 * (0 \circ w)) = (d(0) * (0 \circ w)) \wedge (0 * d(0 \circ w)) \\ &= (0 * (0 \circ w)) \wedge (0 * d(0 \circ w)) = w \wedge (0 * d(0 \circ w)) \\ &= (0 * d(0 \circ w)) * ((0 * d(0 \circ w)) \circ w) = w. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $d(w) = w$. So, $0 * (0 \circ d(w)) = 0 * (0 \circ w) = w = d(w)$. Hence, $d(w)$ is an atom. □

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Dymek, *Atoms and ideals of pseudo-BCI-algebras*, Comment. Math.**52** (2012), 73-90.
- [2] G. Dymek, *p-semisimple pseudo-BCI-algebras*, J. Mult.-Valued Logic Soft Comput.**19** (2012), 461-474.
- [3] G. Georgescu and A. Iorgulescu, *Pseudo-BCK algebras*, an extension of BCK algebras, in: Proc. of DMTCSS01: Combinatorics, Computability and Logic, Springer, London.**2001** (2001), 97-114.
- [4] G. Georgescu and A. Iorgulescu, *Pseudo-BL-algebras*, a noncommutative extension of BL algebras, in: Abstracts of the Fifth International Conference FSTA 2000; Slovakia, February.**2000** (2000), 90-92.
- [5] G. Georgescu and A. Iorgulescu, *Pseudo-MV-algebras*, a noncommutative extension of MV algebras, in: The Proc. of the Fourth International Symp. on Economic Informatics, Bucharest, Romania, May.**1999** 1999, 961-968.
- [6] K. Iseki, *On BCI-algebras*, Math. Sem. Notes Kobe Univ.**1** (1980), 125-130. MR 81k:06018a. Zbl 0434.03049.
- [7] K. Iseki and S. Tanaka, *An introduction to the theory of BCK-algebras*, Math. Japon.**1** (1978), 1-26. MR 80a:03081. Zbl 385.03051.
- [8] K. J. Lee and Ch. H. Park, *Some ideals of pseudo-BCI-algebras*, J. Appl. Informatics.**27** (2009), 217-231.
- [9] M. A. Chaudhry, *On BCH-algebras*, Math. Japonica.**36** (1991), 665-676.
- [10] N. Joseph, S. A. Sun and S.K. Hee, *On Q-Algebras*, Hindawi Publishing Corp, IJMMS.**27:12** (2001), 749-757 PII.S0161171201006627. <http://ijmms.hindawi.com>.
- [11] Q. L. Hu and X. Li, *On BCH-algebras*, Math. Sem. Notes Kobe Univ.**2** (1983), part 2, 313-320. MR 86a:06016. Zbl 579.03047.
- [12] W. A. Dudek and Y. B. Jun, *Pseudo-BCI-algebras*, East Asian Math. J.**24** (2008), 187-190.
- [13] Y. B. Jun, H. S. Kim and J. Neggers, *Pseudo-d-algebras*, Information Sciences.**179** (2009), 1751-1759. doi:10.1016/j.ins.2009.01.021.
- [14] Y. H. Kim and K. S. So, *On minimality in pseudo-BCI-algebras*, Commun. Korean Math. Soc.**27** (2012), 7-13. doi:10.4134/CKMS.2012.27.1.007.
- [15] Y. B. Jun, H. S. Kim and S. SH. Ahn, *Structures of Pseudo Ideal and Pseudo Atom in a Pseudo Q- Algebra*, KYUNGPOOK Math. J. 56(2016), p. 95 - 106. ISSN 1225 - 6951, eISSN 0454 - 8124, ©Kyungpook Mathematical Journal.
- [16] Q. P. and X. Li *On proper BCH-algebras*, Math. Japon.**4** (1985), 659-661. MR 87d:06042. Zbl 583.03050.
- [17] Neggers, J. and Kim, H. S. *On d-algebras*, Math. Slovaca.**1** (1999), 19-26. CMP 1 804 469.
- [18] Shwan A. Bajalan, Şule A. Özbal, *Some Properties and Homomorphisms of Pseudo-Q-Algebras*, Journal of Contemporary Applied Mathematics **V. 6** No 2 (2016) ISSN 2222-5498.

FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND LETTER, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YAŞAR ÜNİVERSİTESİ, İZMİR, TÜRKİYE

E-mail address: `sule.ayar@yasar.edu.tr`

FACULTY OF SCIENCE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, EGE ÜNİVERSİTESİ, İZMİR, TÜRKİYE

E-mail address: `alev.firat@ege.edu.tr`