

NEMYTSKIJ OPERATORS IN LEBESGUE SPACES WITH A VARIABLE EXPONENT

Pavel Matei

*Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
Technical University of Civil Engineering
124 Lacul Tei Blvd., 020396 Bucharest, Romania
E-mail: pavel.matei@gmail.com*

Abstract: In this paper we prove a result concerning sufficient conditions for the continuity of the general nonlinear superposition operator (generalized Nemytskij operator) acting in Lebesgue spaces with a variable exponent. We also provide an application to the study of the Fréchet-differentiability of the gradient norm on a Sobolev space with a variable exponent.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 47H30, 49J50

Key words: Nemytskij operators; Lebesgue spaces with a variable exponent; Fréchet-differentiability of the gradient norm.

1. Introduction

Suppose that $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^N$ is a bounded domain. Let $f : \Omega \times \mathbf{R}^M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a function satisfying the *Carathéodory conditions*:

- (i) for each $s \in \mathbf{R}^M$, the function $x \rightarrow f(x, s)$ is Lebesgue measurable in Ω ;
- (ii) for almost all $x \in \Omega$, the function $s \rightarrow f(x, s)$ is continuous in \mathbf{R}^M .

To such a function we associate the *Nemytskij operator*

$$(N_f u)(x) := f(x, u(x)) \text{ for each } x \in \Omega,$$

defined on classes of vector functions $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^M$, $u(x) = (u_1(x), u_2(x), \dots, u_M(x))$.

Let us make the following convention for the Carathéodory function, the assertion " $x \in \Omega$ " is to be understood in the sense "almost all $x \in \Omega$ ".

It is well known that, for any measurable function $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^M$, the function $\Omega \ni x \mapsto f(x, u(x)) \in \mathbf{R}$ is also measurable.

We now review some definitions and properties related to Lebesgue spaces with variable exponents needed throughout the paper. For proofs and references see [3].

Given a function $p(\cdot) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ that satisfies

$$1 \leq p^- := \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in \Omega} p(x) \leq \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \Omega} p(x) =: p^+ < \infty,$$

the *Lebesgue space* $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ with variable exponent $p(\cdot)$ is defined as

$$L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) := \left\{ v : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}; v \text{ is measurable and } \rho_{p(\cdot)}(v) := \int_{\Omega} |v(x)|^{p(x)} dx < \infty \right\}.$$

Equipped with the norm

$$u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \rightarrow \|u\|_{p(\cdot)} := \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0; \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{u(x)}{\lambda} \right|^{p(x)} \leq 1 \right\},$$

the space $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is a separable Banach space.

Given $p(\cdot) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that $p^- > 1$, let $p'(\cdot) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ be defined by

$$\frac{1}{p(x)} + \frac{1}{p'(x)} = 1 \text{ for almost all } x \in \Omega.$$

For any $u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^{p'(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, the following inequality holds:

$$(1) \quad \int_{\Omega} |u(x)v(x)| dx \leq \left(\frac{1}{p^-} + \frac{1}{(p')^-} \right) \|u\|_{p(\cdot)} \|v\|_{p'(\cdot)}.$$

If $v, w \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, then:

$$(2) \quad \rho_{p(\cdot)}(v+w) \leq 2^{p^+} \left(\rho_{p(\cdot)}(v) + \rho_{p(\cdot)}(w) \right).$$

The following theorem summarizes the relations between the norm $\|\cdot\|_{0,p(\cdot)}$ and the convex modular $\rho_{p(\cdot)}$.

Theorem 1. Let $p(\cdot) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ be such that $p^- \geq 1$ and let $u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$. Then:

- (a) If $u \neq 0$, then $\|u\|_{p(\cdot)} = a$ if and only if $\rho_{p(\cdot)}(a^{-1}u) = 1$.
- (b) $\|u\|_{p(\cdot)} < 1$ (resp. $=1$ or >1) if and only if $\rho_{p(\cdot)}(u) < 1$ (resp. $=1$ or >1).
- (c) $\|u\|_{p(\cdot)} > 1$ implies $\|u\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^-} \leq \rho_{p(\cdot)}(u) \leq \|u\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^+}$.
- (d) $\|u\|_{p(\cdot)} < 1$ implies $\|u\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^+} \leq \rho_{p(\cdot)}(u) \leq \|u\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^-}$.
- (e) Let $u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and $u_n \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. The following statements are equivalent:
 - (i) $\|u - u_n\|_{p(\cdot)} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
 - (ii) $\rho_{p(\cdot)}(u_n - u) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
 - (iii) $(u_n)_n$ converges to u in measure and $\rho_{p(\cdot)}(u_n) \rightarrow \rho_{p(\cdot)}(u)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

2. The main result

The main result of this paper states sufficient conditions to ensure the Nemytskij operator that maps $[L^{p_1(\cdot)}(\Omega)]^M$ into $L^{p_2(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is continuous and bounded.

On $[L^{p_1(\cdot)}(\Omega)]^M$ consider the norm

$$\|u\| := \left\| \sqrt{T[u, u]} \right\|_{p_1(\cdot)},$$

where $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_M)$, $T[u, u] := \sum_{i=1}^M u_i^2$.

Theorem 2. Let $f : \Omega \times \mathbf{R}^M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a Carathéodory function which satisfies the growth condition

$$(3) \quad |f(x, u)| \leq c_1(x) + c(x) \sum_{i=1}^M |u_i|^{p_1(x)/p_2(x)}, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad u \in \mathbf{R}^M,$$

where $c_1 \in L^{p_2(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and c is a non-negative $L^\infty(\Omega)$ -function. Then N_f is a well-defined, bounded, continuous operator from $[L^{p_1(\cdot)}(\Omega)]^M$ into $L^{p_2(\cdot)}(\Omega)$.

Proof. First we prove that N_f is a well-defined and bounded operator from $[L^{p_1(\cdot)}(\Omega)]^M$ into $L^{p_2(\cdot)}(\Omega)$. Let $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_M) \in [L^{p_1(\cdot)}(\Omega)]^M$. From (3), by integrating over Ω and taking into account (2), it follows that

$$(4) \quad \int_{\Omega} |N_f(u)(x)|^{p_2(x)} dx \leq \\ \leq 2^{p_2^+} \left(\int_{\Omega} |c_1(x)|^{p_2(x)} dx + C \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{i=1}^M |u_i(x)|^{p_1(x)/p_2(x)} \right)^{p_2(x)} dx \right) \leq \\ \leq 2^{p_2^+} \left(\int_{\Omega} |c_1(x)|^{p_2(x)} dx + 2^{(M-1)p_2^+} C \sum_{i=1}^M \int_{\Omega} |u_i(x)|^{p_1(x)} dx \right) < \infty,$$

where $C := \max \left(\|c\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{p_2^-}, \|c\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{p_2^+} \right)$. Consequently, $N_f \left([L^{p_1(\cdot)}(\Omega)]^M \right) \subset L^{p_2(\cdot)}(\Omega)$.

To prove the operator N_f is bounded, let us consider $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_M) \in [L^{p_1(\cdot)}(\Omega)]^M$ such that $\|u\| \leq C_2$. Since

$$(5) \quad |u_i| \leq \sqrt{T[u, u]}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq M,$$

we deduce that $\|u_i\|_{p_1(\cdot)} \leq C_2$. Therefore (Theorem 1 (c) and (d))

$$\rho_{p_1(\cdot)}(u_i) \leq C_3 := \max \left(C_2^{p_1^+}, C_2^{p_1^-} \right).$$

According to (4), it follows that N_f transforms norm bounded sets in $[L^{p_1(\cdot)}(\Omega)]^M$ into mean bounded sets in $L^{p_2(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, therefore in norm bounded sets in $L^{p_2(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ (Theorem 1 (c), (d)). Consequently N_f is bounded.

We now prove that the operator N_f is continuous.

Fix $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_M) \in [L^{p_1(\cdot)}(\Omega)]^M$. To establish the continuity of N_f , it is enough to show that every sequence $(u^{(n)})_n \subset [L^{p_1(\cdot)}(\Omega)]^M$ such that

$$(6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u^{(n)} - u\| = 0$$

has a subsequence $(u^{(n_k)})_k$ such that $N_f(u^{(n_k)}) \rightarrow N_f(u)$ in $L^{p_2(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Indeed, let $(u^{(n)})_n$ be a sequence as above, $u^{(n)} = (u_1^{(n)}, u_2^{(n)}, \dots, u_M^{(n)})$.

Taking into account (5), from (6) we infer that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_i^{(n)} - u_i\|_{p_1(\cdot)} = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq M,$$

therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{p_1(\cdot)}(u_i^{(n)} - u_i) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq M,$$

or

$$(7) \quad (u_i^{(n)} - u_i)^{p_1(\cdot)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^1(\Omega) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad 1 \leq i \leq M.$$

By using the Brézis's Lemma ([1]), it follows that there exists a subsequence $(u_1^{(n_k)})_k \subset (u_1^{(n)})_n$ and $h_1 \in L^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (u_1^{(n_k)}(x) - u_1(x))^{p_1(x)} = 0 \text{ for almost all } x \in \Omega$$

and

$$\left| (u_1^{(n_k)}(x) - u_1(x))^{p_1(x)} \right| \leq |h_1(x)| \text{ for almost all } x \in \Omega, \quad k \in \mathbf{N}.$$

By applying the Brézis's Lemma again, passing to a subsequence, there exists $h_2 \in L^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (u_2^{(n_k)}(x) - u_2(x))^{p_1(x)} = 0 \text{ for almost all } x \in \Omega,$$

and

$$\left| (u_2^{(n_k)}(x) - u_2(x))^{p_1(x)} \right| \leq |h_2(x)| \text{ for almost all } x \in \Omega, \quad k \in \mathbf{N}.$$

The process continues. There exist a subsequence $(u^{(n_k)})_k$ and $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_M \in L^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$(8) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (u_i^{(n_k)}(x) - u_i(x))^{p_1(x)} = 0 \text{ for almost all } x \in \Omega, \quad 1 \leq i \leq M,$$

and

$$(9) \quad \left| (u_i^{(n_k)}(x) - u_i(x))^{p_1(x)} \right| \leq |h_i(x)| \text{ for almost all } x \in \Omega, \quad k \in \mathbf{N}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq M.$$

Consequently

$$(10) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_i^{(n_k)}(x) = u_i(x) \text{ almost all } x \in \Omega, \quad 1 \leq i \leq M,$$

and

$$(11) \quad \left| u_i^{(n_k)}(x) \right| \leq |h_i(x)|^{1/p_1(x)} + |u_i(x)| \text{ almost all } x \in \Omega, \quad k \in \mathbf{N}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq M.$$

Since f is a Carathéodory function, it is clear that (see (10))

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} N_f(u^{(n_k)})(x) = N_f(u)(x) \text{ for almost all } x \in \Omega,$$

therefore

$$(12) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (N_f(u^{(n_k)})(x) - N_f(u)(x))^{p_2(x)} = 0 \text{ for almost all } x \in \Omega.$$

On the other hand, from (3) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| N_f \left(u^{(n_k)} \right) (x) \right|^{p_2(x)} = \left| f(x, u^{(n_k)}(x)) \right|^{p_2(x)} \leq 2^{p_2(x)-1} \\ & \times \left(|c_1(x)|^{p_2(x)} + C \cdot 2^{(M-1)(p_2(x)-1)} \sum_{i=1}^M \left(|u_i^{(n_k)}(x)| \right)^{p_1(x)} \right) \text{ for almost all } x \in \Omega, k \in \mathbf{N}. \end{aligned}$$

From (11) we deduce that

$$\left| N_f \left(u^{(n_k)} \right) (x) \right|^{p_2(x)} \leq 2^{p_2^+-1} \left(|c_1(x)|^{p_2(x)} + C \cdot 2^{(M-1)(p_2^+-1)} \sum_{i=1}^M 2^{p_1(x)-1} \left(|h_i(x)| + |u_i(x)|^{p_1(x)} \right) \right),$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| N_f \left(u^{(n_k)} \right) (x) - N_f(u)(x) \right|^{p_2(x)} \leq \left(|N_f \left(u^{(n_k)} \right) (x)| + |N_f(u)(x)| \right)^{p_2(x)} \leq \\ & \leq 2^{p_2(x)-1} \left(|N_f \left(u^{(n_k)} \right) (x)|^{p_2(x)} + |N_f(u)(x)|^{p_2(x)} \right) \leq 2^{p_2^+-1} g(x), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$g(x) := 2^{p_2^+-1} \left(|c_1(x)|^{p_2(x)} + C \cdot 2^{(M-1)(p_2^+-1)+p_1^+-1} \sum_{i=1}^M \left(|h_i(x)| + |u_i(x)|^{p_1(x)} \right) \right) + |N_f(u)(x)|^{p_2(x)}.$$

Since the right term of this equality is in $L^1(\Omega)$ and (12) holds, by applying Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, it follows that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \left(N_f \left(u^{(n_k)} \right) (x) - N_f(u)(x) \right)^{p_2(x)} dx = 0,$$

that is the subsequence $\left(N_f \left(u^{(n_k)} \right) \right)_k$ converges in mean to $N_f(u)$. It follows that the subsequence $\left(N_f(u_{n_k}) \right)_k$ converges in norm to $N_f(u)$ (Theorem 1 (e)), therefore the operator N_f is continuous.

For $M = 1$ we obtain:

Corollary 3. *Let $f : \Omega \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a Carathéodory function which satisfies the growth condition*

$$|f(x, u)| \leq c_1(x) + c(x) |u|^{p(x)-1}, \quad x \in \Omega, u \in \mathbf{R},$$

where $c_1 \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and c is a non-negative $L^\infty(\Omega)$ -function. Then N_f is a well-defined, bounded, continuous operator from $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ into $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$.

Note that this corollary is contained in Theorem 1.16, Fan and Zhao [3].

3. Fréchet differentiability of the gradient norm on a Sobolev space with a variable exponent

In this section, the above results are used to prove the Fréchet differentiability of a norm on a Sobolev space with a variable exponent.

Given a function $p(\cdot) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ that satisfies $p^- \geq 1$, the Sobolev space $W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ with variable exponent $p(\cdot)$ is defined as

$$W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega) := \left\{ v \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega); \partial_i v \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega), 1 \leq i \leq N \right\},$$

where, for each $1 \leq i \leq N$, ∂_i denotes the distributional derivative operator with respect to the i -th variable. $W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{1,p(\cdot),\nabla} := \|u\|_{0,p(\cdot)} + \sum_{i=1}^N \|\partial_i u\|_{0,p(\cdot)}.$$

Consider the space (see [2] for details)

$$U_{\Gamma_0} := \{u \in W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega); \operatorname{tr} u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_0\}, \Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma = \partial\Omega, \operatorname{d}\Gamma - \operatorname{meas}\Gamma_0 > 0.$$

The map

$$u \in U_{\Gamma_0} \rightarrow \|u\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla} := \|\nabla u\|_{p(\cdot)}$$

is a norm on U_{Γ_0} , equivalent to the norm $\|u\|_{1,p(\cdot),\nabla}$ ([2], Theorem 6 (b))

Moreover ([2], Lemma 1), the norm $\|u\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}$ is Gâteaux-differentiable at any nonzero $u \in U_{\Gamma_0}$ and the Gâteaux-differential of this norm at any nonzero $u \in U_{\Gamma_0}$ is given for any $h \in U_{\Gamma_0}$ by

$$(13) \quad \left\langle \|\cdot\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}'(u), h \right\rangle = \frac{\int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_{0,u}} p(x) \frac{|\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)-2} \langle \nabla u(x), \nabla h(x) \rangle}{\|u\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}^{p(x)-1}} \operatorname{d}x}{\int_{\Omega} p(x) \frac{|\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)}}{\|u\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}^{p(x)}} \operatorname{d}x},$$

where $\Omega_{0,u} := \{x \in \Omega; |\nabla u(x)| = 0\}$.

By using Theorem 2 and Corollary 3, we will prove:

Theorem 4. *The map*

$$u \in U_{\Gamma_0} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \|\cdot\|_{p(\cdot)}'$$

is continuous.

Proof. Another direct proof of this theorem can be found in [2], Lemma 2.

Let $\varphi: U_{\Gamma_0} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (U_{\Gamma_0}, \|\cdot\|_{p(\cdot)})^*$ be defined by

$$\langle \varphi(u), h \rangle := \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_{0,u}} p(x) \frac{|\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)-2} \langle \nabla u(x), \nabla h(x) \rangle}{\|u\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}^{p(x)-1}} \operatorname{d}x \text{ for each } h \in U_{\Gamma_0}$$

and let $q: U_{\Gamma_0} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$q(u) := \int_{\Omega} p(x) \frac{|\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)}}{\|u\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}^{p(x)}} \operatorname{d}x.$$

Since

$$\left\langle \|\cdot\|_{p(\cdot)}'(u), \cdot \right\rangle = \frac{\langle \varphi(u), \cdot \rangle}{q(u)} \text{ for all } u \in U_{\Gamma_0} \setminus \{0\},$$

it is sufficient to prove that φ and q are continuous.

Fix $u \in U_{\Gamma_0} \setminus \{0\}$ and let $(u_n)_n \subset U_{\Gamma_0} \setminus \{0\}$ be such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the space $(U_{\Gamma_0}, \|\cdot\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla})$. Since

$$\|\nabla u_n(x) - \nabla u(x)\| \leq |\nabla(u_n - u)(x)|$$

and

$$\|\nabla(u_n - u)\|_{p(\cdot)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

it follows that

$$\|\|\nabla u_n\| - \|\nabla u\|\|_{p(\cdot)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Consequently

$$(14) \quad \left\| \frac{\|\nabla u_n\|}{\|\|\nabla u_n\|\|_{p(\cdot)}} - \frac{\|\nabla u\|}{\|\|\nabla u\|\|_{p(\cdot)}} \right\|_{p(\cdot)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

For any i , $1 \leq i \leq N$, consider the function $f_i : \Omega \times \mathbf{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ given by:

$$f_i(x, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_N) = \begin{cases} \left(\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^N s_j^2} \right)^{p(x)-2} \cdot s_i & , \text{ if } \sum_{j=1}^N s_j^2 > 0 \\ 0 & , \text{ if } \sum_{j=1}^N s_j^2 = 0 \end{cases}.$$

We can write

$$\langle \varphi(u), h \rangle := \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} p(x) f_i \left(x, \frac{\nabla u(x)}{\|\nabla u\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}} \right) \partial_i h(x) dx \text{ for each } h \in U_{\Gamma_0}$$

We have

$$(15) \quad \langle \varphi(u_n) - \varphi(u), h \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} p(x) w_n^i(x) \partial_i h(x) dx,$$

where, for any i , $1 \leq i \leq N$,

$$w_n^i(x) := f_i \left(x, \frac{\nabla u_n(x)}{\|\nabla u_n\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}} \right) - f_i \left(x, \frac{\nabla u(x)}{\|\nabla u\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}} \right), \quad x \in \Omega.$$

Since

$$(16) \quad |f_i(x, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_N)| \leq \left(\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^N s_j^2} \right)^{p(x)-1} \text{ if } \sum_{j=1}^N s_j^2 > 0,$$

it follows that the functions f_i are continuous on \mathbf{R}^N . On the other hand,

$$(17) \quad \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^N s_j^2} \leq \sum_{j=1}^N |s_j|$$

and

$$|s_j| = \left(|s_j|^{p(x)-1} \right)^{1/(p(x)-1)} \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^N |s_j|^{p(x)-1} \right)^{1/(p(x)-1)},$$

therefore

$$(18) \quad \sum_{j=1}^N |s_j| \leq N \left(\sum_{j=1}^N |s_j|^{p(x)-1} \right)^{1/(p(x)-1)}.$$

From (16), (17), and (18) it follows that

$$|f_i(x, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_N)| \leq N^{p(x)-1} \sum_{j=1}^N |s_j|^{p(x)-1} \leq N^{p^+-1} \sum_{j=1}^N |s_j|^{p(x)/p'(x)}$$

that is (3) with $p_1(x) = p(x)$ and $p_2(x) = p'(x)$. By applying Theorem 2, it follows that

$$f_i \left(\cdot, \frac{\nabla u(\cdot)}{\|u\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}} \right) \in L^{p'(\cdot)}(\Omega), \text{ therefore } w_n^i(x) \in L^{p'(\cdot)}(\Omega). \text{ But } \partial_i h \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega). \text{ Therefore,}$$

taking (15) and (1) into account, we obtain

$$(19) \quad \left| \langle \varphi(u_n) - \varphi(u), h \rangle \right| \leq p^+ \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |w_n^i(x)| |\partial_i h(x)| dx \leq \underline{C} \sum_{i=1}^N \|w_n^i\|_{p'(\cdot)} \|\partial_i h\|_{p(\cdot)},$$

$$\text{where } \underline{C} = p^+ \left(\frac{1}{p^-} + \frac{1}{(p')^-} \right).$$

Since

$$\|\partial_i h\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq \|h\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla},$$

we deduce from (19) that

$$\left| \langle \varphi(u_n) - \varphi(u), h \rangle \right| \leq \underline{C} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \|w_n^i\|_{p'(\cdot)} \right) \|h\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}.$$

Consequently,

$$\|\varphi(u_n) - \varphi(u)\| \leq \underline{C} \sum_{i=1}^N \|w_n^i\|_{p'(\cdot)}.$$

It is now clear that in order to prove the continuity of φ , it suffices to show that $\|w_n^i\|_{p'(\cdot)} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for any i , $1 \leq i \leq N$. Taking into account (14), that is a consequence of the continuity of Nemytskij operator (Theorem 2).

We now show that

$$q(u_n) \rightarrow q(u) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} |q(u_n) - q(u)| &= \left| \int_{\Omega} p(x) \left[\frac{|\nabla u_n(x)|^{p(x)}}{\|u_n\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}^{p(x)}} - \frac{|\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)}}{\|u\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}^{p(x)}} \right] dx \right| \leq \\ &\leq p^+ \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{|\nabla u_n(x)|}{\|u_n\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}} \left[\frac{|\nabla u_n(x)|^{p(x)-1}}{\|u_n\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}^{p(x)-1}} - \frac{|\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)-1}}{\|u\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}^{p(x)-1}} \right] \right| dx \end{aligned}$$

$$+ p^+ \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{|\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)-1}}{\|u\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}^{p(x)-1}} \left[\frac{|\nabla u_n(x)|}{\|u_n\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}} - \frac{|\nabla u(x)|}{\|u\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}} \right] \right| dx.$$

it suffices to show that:

$$A_n := \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{|\nabla u_n(x)|}{\|u_n\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}} \left[\frac{|\nabla u_n(x)|^{p(x)-1}}{\|u_n\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}^{p(x)-1}} - \frac{|\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)-1}}{\|u\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}^{p(x)-1}} \right] \right| dx \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$B_n := \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{|\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)-1}}{\|u\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}^{p(x)-1}} \left[\frac{|\nabla u_n(x)|}{\|u_n\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}} - \frac{|\nabla u(x)|}{\|u\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}} \right] \right| dx \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since $\frac{|\nabla u_n|}{\|u_n\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}} \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, $\frac{|\nabla u_n|^{p(\cdot)-1}}{\|u_n\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}^{p(\cdot)-1}} - \frac{|\nabla u|^{p(\cdot)-1}}{\|u\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}^{p(\cdot)-1}} \in L^{p'(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, by using the inequality (1),

we obtain that

$$A_n \leq \bar{C} \left\| \left\| \frac{|\nabla u_n|^{p(\cdot)-1}}{\|u_n\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}^{p(\cdot)-1}} - \frac{|\nabla u|^{p(\cdot)-1}}{\|u\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}^{p(\cdot)-1}} \right\|_{p'(\cdot)} \right\|,$$

where $\bar{C} := \frac{1}{p^-} + \frac{1}{(p')^-}$. It suffices to show that

$$\left\| \left\| \frac{|\nabla u_n|^{p(\cdot)-1}}{\|u_n\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}^{p(\cdot)-1}} - \frac{|\nabla u|^{p(\cdot)-1}}{\|u\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}^{p(\cdot)-1}} \right\|_{p'(\cdot)} \right\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

That follows from (14) and Corollary 3 with $f(x, u) = |u|^{p(x)-1}$.

Therefore $A_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Similarly,

$$B_n \leq \bar{C} \left\| \left\| \frac{|\nabla u_n|}{\|u_n\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}} - \frac{|\nabla u|}{\|u\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}} \right\|_{p(\cdot)} \right\| \left\| \left\| \frac{|\nabla u|^{p(\cdot)-1}}{\|u\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}^{p(\cdot)-1}} \right\|_{p'(\cdot)} \right\|,$$

Since

$$\rho_{p'(\cdot)} \left(\frac{|\nabla u|^{p(\cdot)-1}}{\|u\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}^{p(\cdot)-1}} \right) = \rho_{p(\cdot)} \left(\frac{|\nabla u|}{\|u\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}} \right) = 1,$$

it follows (Theorem 1 (b)) that

$$\left\| \left\| \frac{|\nabla u|^{p(\cdot)-1}}{\|u\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}^{p(\cdot)-1}} \right\|_{p'(\cdot)} \right\| = 1.$$

Therefore

$$B_n \leq \bar{C} \left\| \frac{\|\nabla u_n\|}{\|u_n\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}} - \frac{\|\nabla u\|}{\|u\|_{0,p(\cdot),\nabla}} \right\|_{p(\cdot)}.$$

Taking into account (19), $B_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Hence we conclude that

$$q(u_n) \rightarrow q(u) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

References

- [1] Brézis, H., *Analyse fonctionnelle. Théorie et applications*, Masson, Paris, 1983.
- [2] Ciarlet, P. G., Dinca, G., and Matei, P., Fréchet differentiability of the norm on a Sobolev space with a variable exponent, *Analysis and Applications*, Vol. 11, No. 4 (2013), 1350012 (31 pages), DOI: 10.1142/S0219530513500127.
- [3] Fan, X. L. and Zhao, D., On the spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **263** (2001), 424-446.