

A ZETA-BARNES FUNCTION ASSOCIATED TO GRADED MODULES

MIRCEA CIMPOEAȘ

ABSTRACT. Let K be a field and let $S = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} S_n$ be a positively graded K -algebra. Given $M = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} M_n$, a finitely generated graded S -module, and $w > 0$, we introduce the function $\zeta_M(z, w) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{H(M, n)}{(n+w)^z}$, where $H(M, n) := \dim_K M_n$, $n \geq 0$, is the Hilbert function of M , and we study the relations between the algebraic properties of M and the analytic properties of $\zeta_M(z, w)$. In particular, in the standard graded case, we prove that the multiplicity of M is $e(M) = (m-1)! \lim_{w \searrow 0} \text{Res}_{z=m} \zeta_M(z, w)$.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 13D40, 11M41, 11P81

Key words: Graded modules, quasi-polynomials, Zeta-Barnes function

Article history:

Received 11 April 2018

Accepted 9 April 2019

INTRODUCTION

Let K be a field and let S be a positively graded K -algebra. Let M be a finitely generated S -module of dimension $m \geq 0$. Given a real number $w > 0$, we consider the *zeta-Barnes type* (see [3]) function

$$\zeta_M(z, w) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{H(M, n)}{(n+w)^z},$$

where $H(M, n) := \dim_K M_n$, $n \geq 0$, is the *Hilbert function* of M . According to a Theorem of Serre, see for instance [5, Theorem 4.4.3], there exists a positive integer D such that

$$H(M, n) = d_{M, m-1}(n)n^m + \cdots + d_{M, 1}(n)n + d_{M, 0}(n), \quad (\forall) n \gg 0,$$

where $d_{M, j}(n+D) = d_{M, j}(n)$, $(\forall) n \geq 0$. In Theorem 1.1 we show that

$$\zeta_M(z, w) = \theta_M(z, w) + D^{-z} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{D-1} d_{M, k}(j + \alpha(M)) \sum_{\ell=0}^k \binom{k}{\ell} (-w)^\ell D^{k-\ell} \zeta(z - k + \ell, \frac{j + \alpha(M) + w}{D}),$$

where $\alpha(M) := \min\{n_0 : H(M, n) = q_M(n), (\forall) n \geq n_0\}$, $\theta_M(z, w) := \sum_{n=0}^{\alpha(M)-1} \frac{H(M, n)}{(n+w)^z}$ and $\zeta(z, w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+w)^z}$ is the *Hurwitz-zeta* function. Consequently, $\zeta_M(z, w)$ is a meromorphic function on the complex plane with the poles in the set $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ which are simple with residues

$$\text{Res}_{z=k+1} \zeta_M(z, w) = \frac{1}{D} \sum_{\ell=k}^{m-1} \binom{\ell}{k} (-w)^{\ell-k} \sum_{j=0}^{D-1} d_{M, k}(j), \quad 0 \leq k \leq m-1.$$

Other properties of $\zeta_M(z, w)$ are given in Proposition 1.1, 1.2 and Corollary 1.3, 1.4.

We also consider the function $\zeta_M(z) := \lim_{w \searrow 0} (\zeta_M(z, w) - H(M, 0)w^{-z})$. In Proposition 1.5 we compute $\zeta_M(z)$ and its residues. In Proposition 1.6 we prove that S is Gorenstein if and only if $\zeta_{\omega_S}(z, w) = \zeta_S(z, w - a(S))$, where S is Cohen-Macaulay with the canonical module ω_S .

In the second section, we apply the results obtained in the first section in the case when $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ is the ring of polynomials with $\deg(x_i) = a_i$, $1 \leq i \leq r$. Given a graded S -module M , we compute the residues of $\zeta_M(z, w)$ and $\zeta_M(z)$ in terms of the graded Betti numbers of M and the Bernoulli-Barnes polynomial associated to (a_1, \dots, a_r) , see Corollary 2.2.

In the third section, we consider the standard graded case and we prove that the multiplicity of M , is

$$e(M) = (m-1)! \lim_{w \searrow 0} \operatorname{Res}_{z=m} \zeta_M(z, w),$$

see Corollary 3.3. In the fourth section, we outline the non-graded case and we give a formula for the multiplicity of the module with respect to an ideal, see Proposition 4.1.

1. GRADED MODULES OVER POSITIVELY GRADED K -ALGEBRAS

Let K be a field and let S be a positively graded K -algebra, that is

$$S := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} S_n, S_0 = K,$$

and S is finitely generated over K . Assume $S = K[u_1, \dots, u_r]$, where $u_i \in S$ are homogeneous elements of $\deg(u_i) = a_i$. Let

$$M = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_n$$

be a finitely generated graded S -module with the Krull dimension $m := \dim(M)$. The *Hilbert function* of M is

$$H(M, -) : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}, H(M, n) := \dim_K(M_n), n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The *Hilbert series* of M is

$$H_M(t) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H(M, n)t^n \in \mathbb{Z}[[t]].$$

According to the Hilbert-Serre's Theorem [1, Theorem 11.1] and [5, Exercise 4.4.11]

$$H_M(t) = \frac{h_M(t)}{(1-t^{a_1}) \cdots (1-t^{a_r})},$$

where $h_M(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$. According to Serre's Theorem [5, Theorem 4.4.3] and [5, Exercise 4.4.11] there exists a quasi-polynomial $q_M(n)$ of degree $m-1$ with the period $D := \operatorname{lcm}(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad H(M, n) = q_M(n) = d_{M, m-1}(n)n^{m-1} + \cdots + d_{M, 1}(n)n + d_{M, 0}(n), (\forall)n \gg 0,$$

where $d_{M, k}(n+D) = d_{M, k}(n)$ for any $n \geq 0$ and $0 \leq k \leq m-1$. We denote

$$(1.2) \quad \alpha(M) := \min\{n_0 : H(M, n) = q_M(n), (\forall)n \geq n_0\}.$$

Let $w > 0$ be a real number. We denote

$$(1.3) \quad \zeta_M(z, w) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{H(M, n)}{(n+w)^z}, z \in \mathbb{C},$$

and we call it the *Zeta-Barnes type function* associated to M and w . We also denote

$$(1.4) \quad \theta_M(z, w) := \sum_{n=0}^{\alpha(M)-1} \frac{H(M, n)}{(n+w)^z}, z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

The function $\theta_M(z, w)$ is entire. Moreover, M is Artinian if and only if $\zeta_M(z, w) = \theta_M(z, w)$. Also, $\alpha(M) = 0$ if and only if $\theta_M(z, w) = 0$.

Theorem 1.1. *We have that*

$$\zeta_M(z, w) = \theta_M(z, w) + D^{-z} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{D-1} d_{M,k}(j + \alpha(M)) \sum_{\ell=0}^k \binom{k}{\ell} (-w)^\ell D^{k-\ell} \zeta(z - k + \ell, \frac{j + \alpha(M) + w}{D}),$$

where $\zeta(z, w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+w)^z}$ is the Hurwitz-zeta function.

Moreover, $\zeta_M(z, w)$ is a meromorphic function on \mathbb{C} with the poles in the set $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ which are simple with residues

$$R_M(w, k+1) := \text{Res}_{z=k+1} \zeta_M(z, w) = \frac{1}{D} \sum_{\ell=k}^{m-1} \binom{\ell}{k} (-w)^{\ell-k} \sum_{j=0}^{D-1} d_{M,k}(j), \quad 0 \leq k \leq m-1.$$

Proof. The proof follows the line of the proof of [6, Proposition 3.2]. According to (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4), we have

$$(1.5) \quad \zeta_M(z, w) = \theta_M(z, w) + \sum_{n=\alpha(M)}^{\infty} \frac{q_M(n)}{(n+w)^z} = \theta_M(z, w) + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \sum_{n=\alpha(M)}^{\infty} \frac{d_{M,k}(n)n^k}{(n+w)^z}.$$

For any $0 \leq k \leq m-1$, we write

$$(1.6) \quad n^k = (n+w-w)^k = \sum_{\ell=0}^k (-1)^\ell \binom{k}{\ell} (n+w)^{k-\ell} w^\ell.$$

By (1.5) and (1.6) and the fact that $d_{M,k}(n+D) = d_{M,k}(n)$, $(\forall)n, k$, it follows that

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \zeta_M(z, w) &= \theta_M(z, w) + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \sum_{n=\alpha(M)}^{\infty} d_{M,k}(n) \sum_{\ell=0}^k (-1)^\ell \binom{k}{\ell} w^\ell \frac{1}{(n+w)^{z-k+\ell}} = \theta_M(z, w) + \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{D-1} d_{M,k}(j + \alpha(M)) \sum_{\ell=0}^k (-1)^\ell \binom{k}{\ell} w^\ell \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(j + tD + \alpha(M) + w)^{z-k+\ell}}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$(1.8) \quad \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(j + tD + \alpha(M) + w)^{z-k+\ell}} = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{D^{-z+k-\ell}}{(t + \frac{j+\alpha(M)+w}{D})^{z-k+\ell}} = D^{-z+k-\ell} \zeta(z-k+\ell, \frac{j + \alpha(M) + w}{D}).$$

Replacing (1.8) in (1.7) we get the required result.

The last assertion is a consequence of the fact that the Hurwitz-zeta function $\zeta(z-k, w)$ is a meromorphic function and has a simple pole at $k+1$ with the residue 1 and, also, $\theta_M(z, w)$ is an entire function. \square

Proposition 1.1. *Let $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ be a graded short exact sequence of S -modules. Then*

$$\zeta_M(z, w) = \zeta_U(z, w) + \zeta_N(z, w).$$

Proof. It follows from $H(M, n) = H(U, n) + H(N, n)$, $n \geq 0$, and (1.3). \square

Proposition 1.2. *For any $k \geq 0$, it holds that $\zeta_{M(-k)}(z, w) = \zeta_M(z, w + k)$.*

Proof. Since $M(-k)_n = M_{n-k}$, it follows that $H(M(-k), n) = 0$ for all $0 \leq n < k$ and $H(M(-k), n) = H(M, n-k)$, for all $n \geq k$. Consequently, by (1.3), we get

$$\zeta_{M(-k)}(z, w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{H(M(-k), n)}{(n+w)^z} = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{H(M, n-k)}{(n+w)^z} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{H(M, n)}{(n+k+w)^z} = \zeta_M(z, w+k).$$

\square

Corollary 1.3. *If $f \in S_k$ is regular on M , then*

$$\zeta_{\frac{M}{fM}}(z, w) = \zeta_M(z, w) - \zeta_M(z, w + k).$$

Proof. We consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M(-k) \xrightarrow{f} M \rightarrow \frac{M}{fM} \rightarrow 0.$$

The conclusion follows from Proposition 1.1 and Proposition 1.2. \square

Corollary 1.4. *If $f_1, \dots, f_p \in S$ is a regular sequence on M , consisting of homogeneous elements with $\deg(f_i) = k_i$, then*

$$\zeta_{\frac{M}{(f_1, \dots, f_p)M}}(z) = \zeta_M(z, w) + \sum_{\ell=1}^p (-1)^\ell \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_\ell \leq p} \zeta_M(z, w + k_{i_1} + \dots + k_{i_\ell}).$$

Proof. It follows from Corollary 1.3, using induction on $k \geq 1$. \square

Let

$$(1.9) \quad \zeta_M(z) := \lim_{w \searrow 0} (\zeta_M(z, w) - H(M, 0)w^{-z}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{H(M, n)}{n^z}.$$

Note that $\zeta_M(z)$ codify all the information about the Hilbert function of M with the exception of $H(M, 0)$.

Let

$$(1.10) \quad \theta_M(z) := \sum_{n=1}^{\alpha(M)-1} \frac{H(M, n)}{n^z}.$$

Note that $\theta_M(z)$ is an entire function. Also, if $\alpha(M) \leq 1$ then $\theta_M(z)$ is identically zero.

Proposition 1.5. *We have that*

$$\zeta_M(z) = \theta_M(z) + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{D^{z-k}} \sum_{j=0}^{D-1} d_{M,k}(j + \alpha(M)) \zeta(z - k, \frac{j + \alpha(M) + 1}{D}).$$

The function $\zeta_M(z)$ is meromorphic with poles at most in the set $\{1, \dots, m\}$ which are all simple with residues

$$R_M(k+1) := \text{Res}_{z=k+1} \zeta_M(z) = \frac{1}{D} \sum_{j=0}^D d_{M,k}(j), \quad 0 \leq k \leq m-1.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1, therefore we will omite it. Also, the result could be derived from the proof of [6, Proposition 3.4(i)]. \square

Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer and let

$$M(k) := \bigoplus_{n=-k}^{\infty} M_{n+k}.$$

Given a real number $w > k$, we consider the function

$$(1.11) \quad \zeta_{M(k)}(z, w) := \sum_{n=-k}^{\infty} \frac{H(M, n+k)}{(n+w)^z} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{H(M, n)}{(n+w-k)^z} = \zeta_M(z, w-k).$$

Let $a(S) := \deg(H_S(t))$ be the a -invariant of S . Assume S is Gorenstein. Then, according to [5, Proposition 3.6.11], the canonical module of S , ω_S is isomorphic to $S(a(S))$. Consequently, we get $\zeta_{\omega_S}(z, w) = \zeta_S(z, w - a(S))$, where $w > \max\{0, a(S)\}$.

Proposition 1.6. *Let S be a Cohen-Macaulay domain with the canonical module ω_S . Then S is Gorenstein if and only if $\zeta_{\omega_S}(z, w) = \zeta_S(z, w - a(S))$.*

Proof. Note that $\zeta_{\omega_S}(z, w) = \zeta_S(z, w - a(S))$ is equivalent to $H_{\omega_S}(t) = t^{a(S)}H_S(t)$. Hence, according to [5, Theorem 4.4.5(2)], this is equivalent to S is Gorenstein. \square

Remark 1.7. Assume that $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ is the ring of polynomials with $\deg(x_i) = a_i$, $1 \leq i \leq r$. The Hilbert series of S is

$$H_S(t) = \frac{1}{(1 - t^{a_1}) \cdots (1 - t^{a_r})},$$

hence $a(S) = -(a_1 + \cdots + a_r)$. It is well known that S is Gorenstein, therefore

$$\omega_S \cong S(a(S)) = S(-a_1 - \cdots - a_r).$$

It follows that

$$\zeta_{\omega_S}(z, w) = \zeta_S(z, w + a_1 + \cdots + a_r), \quad (\forall) w > 0.$$

In the next section we will discuss the case of graded modules over S .

2. GRADED MODULES OVER THE RING OF POLYNOMIALS.

Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_r)$ be a sequence of positive integers. In the following, $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ is the ring of polynomials in r indeterminates, with $\deg(x_i) = a_i$, $1 \leq i \leq r$. The *restricted partition function* associated to \mathbf{a} is $p_{\mathbf{a}} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$,

$$p_{\mathbf{a}}(n) := \text{the number of integer solutions } (x_1, \dots, x_r) \text{ of } \sum_{i=1}^r a_i x_i = n \text{ with } x_i \geq 0.$$

For a kindly introduction on the restricted partition function we refer to [2]. One can easily see that $p_{\mathbf{a}}(n) = H(S, n)$, $(\forall) n \geq 1$, hence

$$(2.1) \quad \zeta_S(z, w) = \zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(z, w) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{p_{\mathbf{a}}(n)}{(n+w)^z}$$

is the *Zeta-Barnes function* associated to the sequence \mathbf{a} . We also have

$$(2.2) \quad \zeta_S(z) = \zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(z) := \lim_{w \searrow 0} (\zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(z, w) - w^z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_{\mathbf{a}}(n)}{n^z}.$$

See [6] for further details on the properties of the function $\zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(z)$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let M be a finitely generated graded S -module. Then:*

- (1) $\zeta_M(z, w) := \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i \sum_{j \geq i} \beta_{ij}(M) \zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(z, w + j)$, where $\beta_{ij}(M) := \dim_K(\text{Tor}_i(M, K))_j$ are the graded Betti numbers of M and p is the projective dimension of M .
- (2) $\zeta_M(z) = \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i \sum_{j \geq \max\{i, 1\}} \beta_{ij}(M) \zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(z, j) + \beta_{00}(M) \zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(z)$.

Proof. (1) Let

$$(2.3) \quad \mathbf{F} : 0 \rightarrow F_p \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0,$$

be the minimal free resolution of M . We have that $F_i = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} S(-j)^{\beta_{ij}}$. By (2.1), Proposition 1.1 and Proposition 1.2, it follows that

$$\zeta_{F_i}(z, w) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \beta_{ij} \zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(z, w + j).$$

The result follows from Proposition 1.1 applied several times to the exact sequence (2.3). (2) By (2.1), it follows that

$$(2.4) \quad \lim_{w \searrow 0} \zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(z, j + w) = \zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(z, j), \quad (\forall) j \geq 1.$$

Using (2.2), (2.4) and (1) we get the required result. \square

The Bernoulli numbers B_ℓ are defined by

$$\frac{z}{e^z - 1} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} B_\ell \frac{z^\ell}{\ell!},$$

$B_0 = 1$, $B_1 = -\frac{1}{2}$, $B_2 = \frac{1}{6}$, $B_4 = -\frac{1}{30}$ and $B_n = 0$ if $n \geq 3$ is odd. For $k > 0$ we have the Faulhaber's identity

$$1^k + 2^k + \cdots + n^k = \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{\ell=0}^k \binom{k+1}{\ell} B_\ell n^{1+k-\ell}.$$

The Bernoulli-Barnes polynomials $B_\ell(x; a_1, \dots, a_r)$ are defined by

$$\frac{z^r e^{xz}}{(e^{a_1 z} - 1) \cdots (e^{a_r z} - 1)} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} B_\ell(x; a_1, \dots, a_r) \frac{z^\ell}{\ell!}.$$

According to formula (3.9) in Ruijsenaars [8],

$$(2.5) \quad \text{Res}_{z=\ell} \zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(z, w) = \frac{(-1)^{r-\ell}}{(\ell-1)!(r-\ell)!} B_{r-\ell}(w; a_1, \dots, a_r), \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq r.$$

The Bernoulli-Barnes numbers are defined by

$$B_\ell(a_1, \dots, a_r) := B_\ell(0; a_1, \dots, a_r).$$

The Bernoulli-Barnes numbers and the Bernoulli numbers are related by

$$B_\ell(a_1, \dots, a_r) = \sum_{i_1 + \cdots + i_r = \ell} \binom{\ell}{i_1, \dots, i_r} B_{i_1} \cdots B_{i_r} a_1^{i_1-1} \cdots a_r^{i_r-1},$$

see Bayad and Beck [4, Page 2] for further details. According to [6, Theorem 3.10],

$$(2.6) \quad \text{Res}_{z=\ell} \zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(z) = \frac{(-1)^{r-\ell}}{(\ell-1)!(r-\ell)!} B_{r-\ell}(a_1, \dots, a_r), \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq r.$$

Note that (2.6) can be deduced from (2.5).

Corollary 2.2. *Let M be a finitely generated graded S -module and $w > 0$. Then*

- (1) $R_M(w, \ell) = \sum_{i=0}^p \sum_{j \geq 0} \beta_{ij}(M) \frac{(-1)^{i+r-\ell}}{(\ell-1)!(r-\ell)!} B_{r-\ell}(w+j; a_1, \dots, a_r)$, $1 \leq \ell \leq r$.
- (2) $R_M(\ell) = \sum_{i=0}^p \sum_{j \geq 0} \beta_{ij}(M) \frac{(-1)^{i+r-\ell}}{(\ell-1)!(r-\ell)!} B_{r-\ell}(j; a_1, \dots, a_r)$, $1 \leq \ell \leq r$.

Proof. The results follow from Proposition 2.1 and the formulas (2.5) and (2.6). \square

Example 2.3. Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_r)$ be a sequence of positive integers, $D = \text{lcm}(a_1, \dots, a_r)$. We consider the ideal $I = (x_1^{\frac{D}{a_1}}, \dots, x_r^{\frac{D}{a_r}}) \subset S$. Note that I is an Artinian complete intersection monomial ideal generated in degree D , w.r.t. the \mathbf{a} -grading. According to (2.2) and Corollary 1.4, we have

$$(2.7) \quad \zeta_{S/I}(z, w) = \theta_{S/I}(z, w) = \sum_{j=0}^r (-1)^j \binom{r}{j} \zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(z, w + Dj).$$

On the other hand, one can easily check that

$$H_{S/I}(t) = \frac{(1-t^D)^r}{(1-t^{a_1}) \cdots (1-t^{a_r})} = (1+t^{a_1} + \cdots + t^{a_1(\frac{D}{a_1}-1)}) \cdots (1+t^{a_r} + \cdots + t^{a_r(\frac{D}{a_r}-1)})$$

is a reciprocal polynomial of degree $Dr - a_1 - \cdots - a_r$. The coefficient of t^n in $H_{S/I}(t)$ equals to

$$f_{\mathbf{a}}(n) = \#\{(x_1, \dots, x_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r : a_1 x_1 + \cdots + a_r x_r = n, 0 \leq x_1 < \frac{D}{a_1} - 1, \dots, 0 \leq x_r < \frac{D}{a_r} - 1\}.$$

By (2.7) it follows that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{Dr-a_1-\dots-a_r} f_{\mathbf{a}}(n)(n+w)^{-z} = \sum_{j=0}^r (-1)^j \binom{r}{j} \zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(z, w + Dj).$$

See Rødseth and Sellers [7] for further details on the coefficients $f_{\mathbf{a}}(n)$.

Example 2.4. Let $S = K[x_1, x_2]$ with $\deg(x_1) = 2$, $\deg(x_2) = 3$. Let $\mathbf{a} = (2, 3)$. The polynomial $f = x_1^3 - x_2^2 \in S$ is homogeneous of degree 6. Let $R = S/(f)$. R has the minimal graded free resolution

$$(2.8) \quad 0 \rightarrow S(-6) \xrightarrow{f} S \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0$$

It follows that the non-zero Betti numbers of R are $\beta_{00}(R) = 1$ and $\beta_{16}(R) = 1$. Let $w > 0$. According to (2.1) and Corollary 1.3 (or (2.8) and Proposition 2.1(1)) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_R(z, w) &= \zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(z, w) - \zeta_{\mathbf{a}}(z, w + 6) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{p_{\mathbf{a}}(n)}{(n+w)^z} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{p_{\mathbf{a}}(n)}{(n+w+6)^z} = \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^5 \frac{p_{\mathbf{a}}(n)}{(n+w)^z} + \sum_{n=6}^{\infty} \frac{p_{\mathbf{a}}(n) - p_{\mathbf{a}}(n-6)}{(n+w)^z} = \frac{1}{w^z} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+w)^z} = \frac{1}{w^z} + \zeta(z, w+2). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the Hilbert series of R is

$$H_R(t) = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} t^n = 1 + \frac{t^2}{1-t} = \frac{t^2 - t + 1}{1-t},$$

hence $\alpha(R) = a(R) = 1$. It follows that $\theta_R(z, w) = \frac{1}{w^z}$. Also,

$$\zeta_R(z) = \lim_{w \searrow 0} (\zeta_R(z, w) - \frac{1}{w^z}) = \zeta(z, 2) \text{ and } \theta_R(z) = 0.$$

3. THE STANDARD GRADED CASE

Let S be a standard graded K -algebra, that is $S = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} S_n$, $S_0 = K$ and $S = K[S_1]$. Let M be a finitely generated graded S -module. According to the Hilbert-Serre's Theorem, it holds that

$$(3.1) \quad H_M(t) = \frac{h_M(t)}{(t-1)^m},$$

where $h_M \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$, $m = \dim(M)$ and $h_M(1) \neq 0$. Also, there exists a polynomial $P_M(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ of degree $m-1$, such that

$$H(M, n) = P_M(n), \quad (\forall) n \gg 0,$$

which is called the *Hilbert polynomial* of M .

The number $e(M) := h_M(1)$ is called the *multiplicity* of the module M .

Proposition 3.1. *If $P_M(t) = d_{M, m-1}t^{m-1} + \dots + d_{M, 1}t + d_{M, 0}$ is the Hilbert polynomial of M , then*

$$\zeta_M(z, w) = \theta_M(z, w) + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} d_{M, k} \sum_{\ell=0}^k \binom{k}{\ell} (-w)^{\ell} \zeta(z - k + \ell, \alpha(M) + w)$$

is a meromorphic function on \mathbb{C} with the poles in the set $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ which are simple with residues

$$R_M(w, k+1) := \text{Res}_{z=k+1} \zeta_M(z, w) = \sum_{\ell=k}^{m-1} \binom{\ell}{k} (-w)^{\ell-k} d_{M, \ell}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq m-1.$$

Proof. It is the particular case of Theorem 1.1 for $\mathbf{a} = (1, \dots, 1)$. □

Proposition 3.2. *We have that*

$$\zeta_M(z) = \theta_M(z) + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} d_{M,k} \zeta(z - k + \ell, \alpha(M) + 1)$$

is a meromorphic function on \mathbb{C} with the poles in the set $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ which are simple with residues

$$R_M(\ell + 1) := \text{Res}_{z=\ell+1} \zeta_M(z) = d_{M,\ell}.$$

Proof. It is the particular case of Proposition 1.5 for $\mathbf{a} = (1, \dots, 1)$. □

If $\dim M \geq 1$, then we can write

$$(3.2) \quad P_M(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k e_k(M) \binom{t + m - 1 - k}{m - 1 - k}.$$

According to [5, Proposition 4.1.9], we have

$$(3.3) \quad e_k(M) = \frac{h_M^{(k)}(t)}{k!}, \quad (\forall) 0 \leq k \leq m - 1.$$

Corollary 3.3. *If $m = \dim M \geq 1$, then*

$$e(M) = e_0(M) = (m - 1)! d_{M,m-1} = (m - 1)! R_M(m).$$

Proof. It follows from (3.2), (3.3) and Proposition 3.2. □

The *higher iterated Hilbert functions* $H_i(M, n)$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, of a finitely generated S -module M are defined recursively as follows:

$$(3.4) \quad H_0(M, n) := H(M, n), \text{ and } H_i(M, n) = \sum_{j=0}^n H_{i-1}(M, n), \quad i \geq 1.$$

The functions $H_i(M, n)$ are of polynomial type of degree $m + i - 1$, hence

$$(3.5) \quad H_i(M, n) = P_i(M, n) := d_{M,m+i-1}^i n^{m+i-1} + \dots + d_{M,1}^i n + d_{M,0}^i, \quad (\forall) n \gg 0.$$

We define the *higher Zeta-Barnes type functions* associated to M as follows:

$$(3.6) \quad \zeta_M^i(z, w) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{H_i(M, n)}{(n + w)^z}, \quad i \geq 0.$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad \zeta_M^i(z) = \lim_{w \searrow 0} (\zeta_M^i(z, w) - H(M, 0)w^{-z}), \quad i \geq 0.$$

Let

$$\alpha^i(M) := \min\{n_0 \in \mathbb{N} : H_i(M, n) = P_i(M, n), (\forall) n \geq n_0\}.$$

We define

$$\theta_M^i(z, w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\alpha^i(M)-1} \frac{H_i(M, n)}{(n + w)^z} \text{ and } \theta_M^i(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\alpha^i(M)-1} \frac{H_i(M, n)}{n^z}.$$

Proposition 3.4. *With the above notations:*

- (1) $\zeta_M^i(z, w) = \theta_M^i(z, w) + \sum_{k=0}^{m+i-1} d_{M,k}^i \sum_{\ell=0}^k \binom{k}{\ell} (-w)^\ell \zeta(z - k + \ell, \alpha^i(M) + w)$ is a meromorphic function on \mathbb{C} with the poles in the set $\{1, 2, \dots, m + i\}$ which are simple with residues

$$R_M^i(w, k + 1) := \text{Res}_{z=k+1} \zeta_M^i(z, w) = \sum_{\ell=k}^{m+i-1} \binom{\ell}{k} (-w)^{\ell-k} d_{M,\ell}^i, \quad 0 \leq k \leq m + i - 1.$$

(2) $\zeta_M^i(z) = \theta_M^i(z) + \sum_{k=0}^{m+i-1} d_{M,k}^i \zeta(z-k+\ell, \alpha^i(M)+1)$ is a meromorphic function on \mathbb{C} with the poles in the set $\{1, 2, \dots, m+i\}$ which are simple with residues

$$R_M^i(k+1) := \text{Res}_{z=k+1} \zeta_M(z) = d_{M,k}^i, \quad 0 \leq k \leq m+i-1.$$

Proof. Is similar to Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2. \square

Corollary 3.5. We have that $e(M) = m!R_M^1(m+1)$.

Proof. According to [5, Remark 4.1.6], $H_1(M, n) = d_{M,m}^1 n^m + \dots + d_{M,1}^1 n + d_{M,0}^1$, $(\forall)n \gg 0$, and $e(M) = m!d_{M,m}^1$. Now, apply Proposition 3.4(2). \square

Remark 3.6. Let $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ and $I \subset S$ a graded ideal. We say that S/I has a *pure resolution* of type (d_1, \dots, d_p) if its minimal resolution is

$$0 \rightarrow S(-d_p)^{\beta_p} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow S(-d_1)^{\beta_1} \rightarrow S \rightarrow S/I \rightarrow 0,$$

where p is the projective dimension of S/I , $d_1 < d_2 < \dots < d_p$ and $\beta_i = \sum_{j \geq 0} \beta_{ij}(S/I)$, $1 \leq i \leq p$, are the Betti numbers of S/I . According to Corollary 3.3, $e(S/I) = R_{S/I}(m)$, where $m = \dim(S/I)$. On the other hand, according to Corollary 2.2(2), we have

$$(3.8) \quad R_{S/I}(m) = \sum_{i=0}^p \beta_i \frac{(-1)^{r-m}}{(m-1)!(r-m)!} B_{r-m}(d_i; 1, 1, \dots, 1).$$

Suppose S/I is Cohen-Macaulay and has a pure resolution of type (d_1, \dots, d_p) . According to [5, Theorem 4.1.15],

$$(3.9) \quad \beta_i = (-1)^{i+1} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{d_j}{d_j - d_i} \quad \text{and} \quad e(S/I) = \frac{d_1 d_2 \cdots d_p}{p!}.$$

The Ausländer-Buchsbaum formula [5, Theorem 1.3.3] implies $p = r - m$, hence (3.8) and (3.9) give the identity:

$$\sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^{i+1} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{d_j}{d_j - d_i} B_p(d_i; 1, 1, \dots, 1) = (m-1)!(-1)^p d_1 d_2 \cdots d_p.$$

4. THE NON-GRADED CASE

Let (S, \mathfrak{m}, K) be a Noetherian local ring, where \mathfrak{m} is the maximal ideal of S and $K = S/\mathfrak{m}$ is the residue field. Let M be a finitely generated S -module, with $m = \dim(M)$, and let $I \subset S$ be an ideal such that $\mathfrak{m}^n M \subset IM$ for some $n \geq 1$. The associated graded ring is

$$\text{gr}_I(S) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \frac{I^n}{I^{n+1}} = \frac{S}{I} \oplus \frac{I}{I^2} \oplus \dots$$

The associated graded module of M , with respect to I , is

$$\text{gr}_I(M) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \frac{I^n M}{I^{n+1} M},$$

which has a structure of a $\text{gr}_I(S)$ -module. According to [5, Theorem 4.5.6], it holds that

$$\dim(\text{gr}_I(M)) = \dim(M) = m.$$

The *Hilbert-Samuel function* of M , w.r.t. I , is

$$\chi_M(n) := H_1(\text{gr}_I(M), n) = \sum_{i=0}^n H(\text{gr}_I(M), i) = \dim_K \frac{M}{I^{n+1} M}, \quad (\forall)n \geq 0.$$

The *multiplicity* of M with respect to I is $e(M, I) := e(\text{gr}_I(M))$. For $n \gg 0$, according to [5, Remark 4.1.6], we have that

$$(4.1) \quad \chi_M(n) = \frac{e(M, I)}{m!} n^m + \text{terms in lower powers of } n.$$

We consider the functions

$$(4.2) \quad \zeta_{M, I}^i(z, w) := \zeta_{\text{gr}_I(M)}^i(z, w) \text{ and } \zeta_{M, I}^i(z) := \zeta_{\text{gr}_I(M)}^i(z), \quad i \geq 0.$$

Proposition 4.1. *It holds that*

$$e(M, I) = m! \text{Res}_{z=m+1} \zeta_{M, I}^1(z).$$

Proof. This follows from (4.1), (4.2) and Corollary 3.5. □

REFERENCES

- [1] M. F. Atiyah, I. G. Macdonald, *Introduction to commutative algebra*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, (1969).
- [2] J. L. Ramirez Alfonsin, *The Diophantine Frobenius Problem*, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications **30**, (2005).
- [3] E. W. Barnes, *On the theory of the multiple gamma function*, Trans. Camb. Philos. Soc. **19** (1904), 374-425.
- [4] A. Bayad, M. Beck, *Relations for Bernoulli-Barnes Numbers and Barnes Zeta Functions*, International Journal of Number Theory **10**, (2014), 1321-1335.
- [5] W. Bruns, J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics **39**, revised edition (1998).
- [6] M. Cimpoeaş, F. Nicolae, *On the restricted partition function*, Ramanujan J. **47**, no.3 (2018), 565-588.
- [7] Ø. J. Rødseth, J. A. Sellers, *Partitions with parts in a finite set*, International Journal of Number Theory, **Vol. 02, No. 03**, (2006), 455-468.
- [8] S. N. M. Ruijsenaars, *On Barnes Multiple Zeta and Gamma Functions*, Advances in Mathematics **156**, (2000), 107-132.

SIMION STOILOW INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, RESEARCH UNIT 5, P.O.BOX 1-764, BUCHAREST 014700, ROMANIA AND UNIVERSITY POLITEHNICA OF BUCHAREST, FACULTY OF APPLIED SCIENCES, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL METHODS AND MODELS, BUCHAREST, 060042, ROMANIA.

Email address: `mircea.cimpoeas@imar.ro`